

DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING REPORT

Council on Chiropractic Guidelines and Practice Parameters

Team Members

Lawrence H. Wyatt, DC, DACBR (Team Lead)
Professor of Clinical Sciences
Texas Chiropractic College

Dana J. Lawrence, DC, MMedEd
Senior Director, Center for Teaching and Learning
Palmer College of Chiropractic

Timothy Mick, DC, DACBR
President, Imaging Consultants, Inc., St. Paul, MN
Spine Radiologist, Center for Diagnostic Imaging, St. Louis Park, MN

Eugene A. Lewis, DC, MPH
Private practice, Greensboro, NC
Vice Chair, North Carolina State Radiation Protection Commission
Member, Executive Committee, CCGPP

Patrick J. Farrell, DC, DACBR
Chiropractic Radiologist, Farrell Radiology Consultants, Frederick, MD
Private practice, Gaithersburg, MD

INTRODUCTION

The Diagnostic Imaging team was asked to review the literature on the use of diagnostic imaging in chiropractic practice. The literature on this topic is quite extensive.

In 2007-8, a series of literature syntheses was published in the *Journal of Manipulative and Physiologic Therapeutics* on this topic. The diagnostic imaging team, in consultation with the CCGPP, believed that the best course of action was to evaluate this extensive literature synthesis before delving further into the individual papers in the literature base. We would then determine if the synthesis covered those topics of interest to the chiropractic profession in a sufficient manner.

METHODOLOGY

Each of the team members was provided with the following papers (links to full text are provided with permission):

[http://journals.elsevierhealth.com/periodicals/yymt/issues/contents?issue_key=S0161-4754\(07\)X0081-2](http://journals.elsevierhealth.com/periodicals/yymt/issues/contents?issue_key=S0161-4754(07)X0081-2)

Bussièrès AE, Peterson C, Taylor JA. Diagnostic imaging practice guidelines for musculoskeletal complaints in adults--an evidence-based approach: introduction. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2007 Nov-Dec;30(9):617-83.

Bussièrès AE, Peterson C, Taylor JA. Diagnostic imaging practice guidelines for musculoskeletal complaints in adults--an evidence-based approach. Part 1. Lower extremity disorders. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2007 Nov-Dec;30(9):684-717.

[http://journals.elsevierhealth.com/periodicals/yymt/issues/contents?issue_key=S0161-4754\(08\)X0082-X](http://journals.elsevierhealth.com/periodicals/yymt/issues/contents?issue_key=S0161-4754(08)X0082-X)

Bussièrès AE, Peterson C, Taylor JA. Diagnostic imaging guideline for musculoskeletal complaints in adults-an evidence-based approach-part 2: upper extremity disorders. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2008 Jan;31(1):2-32.

Bussièrès AE, Peterson C, Taylor JA. Diagnostic imaging practice guidelines for musculoskeletal complaints in adults-an evidence-based approach-part 3: spinal disorders. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2008 Jan;31(1):33-88.

The Appraisal of Guidelines Research & Evaluation (AGREE) Instrument was used in the evaluation of this literature synthesis. In essence, the AGREE instrument is used to provide a tool for assessing the quality of clinical practice guidelines. All authors and the CCGPP were in agreement that this was the appropriate instrument to be used. The AGREE instrument is available at: <http://www.agreecollaboration.org/pdf/agreeinstrumentfinal.pdf>

Each team member was provided with each of the papers listed above, along with the AGREE instrument via e-mail by the team lead. Each member was to then review the papers and provide an analysis of each based on the AGREE instrument. One member suggested, and it was unanimously approved, that the four papers, although published separately, should be reviewed as one single document. Consultation with the CCGPP affirmed this approach.

After reviewing the literature synthesis, each team member then forwarded his analysis to the team lead, who then collated the results.

RESULTS

The total scores, based on the AGREE instrument for each reviewer were as follows:

Reviewer 1- 68

Reviewer 2- 86

Reviewer 3- 88

Reviewer 4- 72

Reviewer 5- 86

These scores tabulated to a mean score of: 80.0

A score of 80.0 gives this literature synthesis a recommendation of:

Strongly Recommend

CONCLUSION

It is therefore the recommendation of the diagnostic imaging team that the literature synthesis mentioned previously be adopted as the official position of the CCGPP on matters relating to the use of diagnostic imaging in the chiropractic profession.